COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE 3:20-CV-04688-RS

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER 2 Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Omnibus Motions in Limine ("Motion" or "MIL") Numbers 3 1 through 8 (ECF No. 518). 4 Having considered Plaintiffs' Motions, Google LLC's ("Google") Opposition to Plaintiffs' 5 Motions, the Declaration of Eduardo E. Santacana in Support of Google's Opposition to Plaintiffs' 6 Motions filed concurrently therewith, and the exhibits attached thereto, along with other materials 7 in the record, argument of counsel, and such other matters as the Court may consider, the Court 8 GRANTS in part and DENIES in part Plaintiffs' Motions. 9 10 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: 11 1. Plaintiffs' MIL No. 1 is GRANTED, given that Google does not oppose Plaintiffs' Motion; 12 2. Plaintiffs' MIL No. 2 is DENIED; 13 3. Plaintiffs' MIL No. 3 is DENIED; 14 4. Plaintiffs' MIL No. 4 is DENIED; 15 5. Plaintiffs' MIL No. 5 is DENIED; 16 6. Plaintiffs' MIL No. 6 is DENIED; 17 7. Plaintiffs' MIL No. 7 is DENIED; and 18 8. Plaintiffs' MIL No. 8 is DENIED. 19 IT IS SO ORDERED. 20 21 22 DATED: Honorable Richard Seeborg 23 United States District Judge 24 25 26 27 28

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO